# School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2010-11 School Year Published During 2011-12

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

#### I. Data and Access

#### **EdData Partnership Web Site**

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

#### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at <a href="http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/">http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</a> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## **II. About This School**

Contact Information (School Year 2011-12)

	School	District			
School Name	Polaris Alternative High School	District Name	Anaheim Union High School District		
Street	1800 W. Ball Rd.	Phone Number	714-999-3502		
City, State, Zip	Anaheim, CA 92804	Web Site	Auhsd.us		
Phone Number	714-999-3738	Superintendent	Dr. Elizabeth Novack		
Principal	Kelly Wilson	E-mail Address	novack_e@auhsd.us		
E-mail Address	Martens_R@auhsd.us	CDS Code	30664313030384		

#### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

Polaris High School is a voluntary alternative educational placement for students who would benefit from a flexible academic schedule. Independent Study requires a minimum of one hour a week on site interaction with a case carrier/supervising teacher to review curriculum assignments, instructional activities, and to assess academic progress. Students with grade level academic study skills and possess the ability to work independently are considered for this program. Using a standards-based curriculum founded upon research-based strategies, Polaris High School will offer students a unique opportunity to earn their high school diploma in an emerging technologically sophisticated society by enhancing their skills of self-discipline and motivation, integrity, and independent thinking. The mission of Polaris High School is to provide an alternative to traditional or continuation education that offers opportunities for students to achieve academic, career, and personal success by providing a variety of individualized instructional programs.

#### Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Parental involvement is essential in the independent studies model, as students are likely to have limited exposure at the school site. Parents are encouraged to take an active role in their students' academic and social/emotional development. In addition, parents have the opportunity, and are expected, to participate in any at-risk counseling for their child beginning with the first required Parent/Student Orientation meeting. Parents are required to meet with their student's case carrier once each semester. Parenting classes are available throughout the year through the district Parent Involvement Office. Parents receive home calls periodically to inform them of activities or incidents on campus. All parents have access to school counselors and administrators as needed. There is bilingual support on campus for parents with language needs. Additionally, all parents are invited to attend School Site Council meetings for alternative education to provide input on school policies and procedures under discussion.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2010-11)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 8	6
Grade 9	7
Grade 10	18
Grade 11	53
Grade 12	82
Total Enrollment	166

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2010-11)

Group	Group Percent of Total Enrollment Group		Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	4.2	White	36.1
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	Two or More Races	6
Asian	1.8	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	22.3
Filipino	1.2	English Learners	16.9
Hispanic or Latino	50	Students with Disabilities	0
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.6		

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

2008-09		8-09		2009-10				2010-11				
Subject	Avg.	Numbe	er of Clas	srooms	Avg. Number of Classrooms		Avg.	Numbe	er of Clas	srooms		
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English					8.3	24	0	0	320.7	1	0	2
Mathematics					6.3	28	0	0	0	0	0	0
Science					9.4	11	1	0	0	0	0	0
Social Science					7.1	18	0	0	0	0	0	0

<sup>\*</sup> Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

# **III. School Climate**

#### School Safety Plan (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

School Safety Plans are reviewed on an annual basis. A School Safety Plan Committee meets three times each year to update the plan. The completed plan was presented to the School Site Council in April of 2011. The Plan was reviewed with staff on August 25, 2001 prior to the beginning of the school year.

Suspensions and Expulsions

Doto		School		District			
Rate	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	
Suspensions	0	0.54	0	17.11	12.3	1.68	
Expulsions	0	0	1.81	1.08	0.97	0.00	

<sup>\*</sup> The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

#### IV. School Facilities

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- · Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- · Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- · Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

Year and month in which data were collected: October 2011

Polaris High School is located on the Trident Educational Center at 1800 W. Ball Road.

Maintenance and Repair: Site and district maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning process and schedule: The district has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. The administration works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. All classrooms and restrooms are cleaned daily and deep cleaning, waxing of floors, and painting takes place during times when students are not in class. Students, parents, and staff are encouraged to report any objectionable conditions via a uniform complaint procedure.

The most recent site inspection was completed on October 3, 2011.

# School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2011-12)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- · Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

Custom Increated	Repair Status				Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[]	[]	[X]	Two ceiling tiles with holes and one loose ceiling tile.	

Custom Increated	Repair Status				Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[]	[X]	[]	Graffiti on outside sign.	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[]	[X]	[]	Back door will not shut by itself.	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]		

# V. Teachers

#### **Teacher Credentials**

Tarakana		District		
Teachers	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2010-11
With Full Credential		8	10	1291.7
Without Full Credential		0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	1	0	

**Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions** 

Indicator	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	1	0	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0	

<sup>&</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student

group, etc.
"Vacant Teacher Positions" refer to positions not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester.

#### Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2010-11)

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher* 

and Principal Quality webpage at: http://www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by					
Location of Classes	NCLB Compliant Teachers	Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers				
This School	100	0				
All Schools in District	100	0				
High-Poverty Schools in District	100	0				
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100	0				

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

# **VI. Support Staff**

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2010-11)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	1	184
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.5	
Psychologist	0	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	0	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0	
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0	
Other	0	

<sup>\*</sup> One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

#### VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

## Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2011-12)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

# Year and month in which data were collected:

As provided for through the Williams Act, all students have access to all district adopted textbooks, supplemental materials and any other related instructional materials.

This information was collected in September, 2009.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	English language arts textbooks were adopted in 2002/03. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Mathematics	Mathematics textbooks were adopted in 2007-08. Course appropriate, standards-based textbooks were chosen for each mathematics course. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Science	Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social science textbooks were adopted in 2005-06. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Foreign Language is currently not offered through independent studies. Polaris students attend other AUHSD campuses or community college to fulfill the foreign language requirements for college entrance.		0
Health	Health textbooks were adopted in 2004-05. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Visual and Performing Arts			
Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	Polaris students attend other AUHSD campuses or community college to fulfill the lab requirements for college entrance. Currently, there are limited science lab facilities available on the site. There are plans to provide a science lab classroom for the 2009-10 school year.		0

# **VIII. School Finances**

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/ Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/ Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$8,130	\$2,934	\$5,196	\$89.493
District			\$5,564	\$81,859
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-6.6	9.3
State			\$5,455	\$70,570
Percent Difference: School Site and State			-4.7	26.8

<sup>\*</sup> Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2010-11)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Polaris High School receives limited federal and State categorical funding. The English Learner students are supplemented with Title III, EIA/LEP, and ELAP funding. Each of these funding sources supports the English acquisition of the English Learner. Title II funding supports the professional development needs of the Polaris staff including acquiring instructional strategies that benefit the Independent Studies student.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,665	\$42,954
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$86,735	\$69,905
Highest Teacher Salary	\$99,631	\$89,464
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$126,447	\$121,722
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$139,351	\$128,348
Superintendent Salary	\$237,300	\$205,119
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40%	37%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4%	5%

<sup>\*</sup> For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### IX. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for
  grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five
  and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from
  achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science
  for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent
  them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Cubicat		School		District			State		
Subject	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
English-Language Arts	37	41	37	44	48	49	49	52	54
Mathematics	11	12	10	28	31	35	46	48	50
Science	20	29	21	51	53	58	50	54	57
History-Social Science	27	34	34	43	46	49	41	44	48

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced							
Group	English- Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science				
All Students in the LEA	49	35	58	49				
All Student at the School	37	10	21	34				
Male	31	15	25	41				
Female	43	7	18	29				
Black or African American	0	0	0	0				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0				
Asian	0	0	0	0				
Filipino	0	0	0	0				
Hispanic or Latino	36	8	33	37				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander								
White	37	4	0	31				
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	29	12	19	41				
English Learners	0	0	0	0				
Students with Disabilities								
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0				

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **California High School Exit Examination**

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics to compute AYP designations required by the federal ESEA, also known as NCLB.

For detailed information regarding CAHSEE results, see the CDE CAHSEE Web site at http://cahsee.cde.ca.gov/.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

Cubinet		School		u	District			State		
Subject	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	
English-Language Arts	40	56	34	49	49	58	52	54	59	
Mathematics	29	30	30	53	52	55	53	54	56	

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California High School Exit Examination Grade Ten Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Engli	sh-Language	Arts	Mathematics			
Group	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	
All Students in the LEA	42	25	32	45	33	21	
All Students at the School	66	13	21	70	27	3	
Male	61	28	11	59	35	6	
Female	70	0	30	80	20	0	
Black or African American	0	0	0	0	0	0	
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Asian	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Filipino	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Hispanic or Latino	85	0	15	0	0	0	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0	0	
White	53	18	29	65	35	0	
Two or More Races	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	76	10	14	74	21	5	
English Learners	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	

<sup>\*</sup> Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# X. Accountability

#### **Academic Performance Index**

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

#### Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2008	2009	2010
Statewide	3	2	3
Similar Schools	N/A		

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Group	Actual API Change							
Group	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11					
All Students at the School	-46	79	-73					
Black or African American								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Asian								
Filipino								
Hispanic or Latino								
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander								
White								
Two or More Races	N/D							
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged								
English Learners								
Students with Disabilities								

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

#### Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2011 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2011 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2011 Growth API								
Group	Sch	ool	LE	A	Sta	State			
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API			
All Students at the School	50	623	26,483	762	4,683,676	778			
Black or African American	5		830	735	317,856	696			
American Indian or Alaska Native	0		85	771	33,774	733			
Asian	1		3,319	914	398,869	898			
Filipino	1		1,126	865	123,245	859			
Hispanic or Latino	18	628	15,806	714	2,406,749	729			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0		254	759	26,953	764			
White	25	604	5,019	799	1,258,831	845			
Two or More Races	0		9		76,766	836			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12	514	17,241	723	2,731,843	726			
English Learners	5		2,532		1,521,844	707			
Students with Disabilities	0		2,500	501	521,815	595			

## **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2010-11)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	No	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	No	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	Yes	Yes

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2011-12)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

 Indicator
 School
 District

 Program Improvement Status
 In PI

 First Year of Program Improvement
 2008-2009

 Year in Program Improvement
 Year 3

 Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement
 -- 12

 Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement
 -- 57.1

# XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

#### **Admission Requirements for California's Public Universities**

#### **University of California**

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college course work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work.

For general admissions requirements, please visit the UC Admissions Information webpage at http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/admissions/. (Outside source)

#### **California State University**

Eligibility for admission to the California State University (CSU) is determined by three factors:

- Specific high school courses
- · Grades in specified courses and test scores
- · Graduation from high school

Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses have local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For admission, application, and fee information see the CSU webpage at http://www.calstate.edu/admission/admission.shtml. (Outside source)

**Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate** 

lu dia eta u		School		District			State		
Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Dropout Rate (1-year)	13.4	25.7	11.9	2.1	5.3	2.1	4.9	5.7	4.6
Graduation Rate	64.4	51.22	56.25	90.3	84.57	88.64	80.21	78.59	80.44

<sup>\*</sup> The National Center for Education Statistics graduation rate as reported in AYP is provided in this table.

#### **Completion of High School Graduation Requirements**

This table displays, by student group, the percent of students who began the 2010-11 school year in grade twelve and were a part of the school's most recent graduating class, meeting all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion, including

having passed both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE or received a local waiver or state exemption.

2	Graduating Class of 2011						
Group	School	District	State				
All Students	67.5	79.8	N/D				
Black or African American	100.0	91.0	N/D				
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	93.3	N/D				
Asian	100.0	98.3	N/D				
Filipino	0	95.4	N/D				
Hispanic or Latino	61.4	97.8	N/D				
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	100.0	91.0	N/D				
White	66.7	89.8	N/D				
Two or More Races			N/D				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	64.7	86.2	N/D				
English Learners	50.0	58.4	N/D				
Students with Disabilities	100.0	59.5	N/D				

<sup>\* &</sup>quot;N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report.

#### Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about Career Technical Education (CTE) programs including:

- · Programs and classes offered that are specifically focused on career preparation and or preparation for work
- How these programs and classes are integrated with academic courses and how they support academic achievement
- How the school addresses the needs of all students in career preparation and/or preparation for work, including needs unique to defined special populations of students
- The measurable outcomes of these programs and classes, and how they are evaluated
- · State the primary representative of the district's CTE advisory committee and the industries represented on the committee

Polaris Alternative High School is in the process of identifying current industry pathways that best suit the needs and interests of its deverse student body.

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2010-11)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of pupils participating in CTE	0
% of pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
% of CTE courses sequenced/articulated between the school/institutions of postsecondary education	0

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission (School Year 2009-10)

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	82.9
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	17.8

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2010-11)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	0	
English	0	
Fine and Performing Arts	0	
Foreign Language	0	
Mathematics	0	
Science	0	
Social Science	0	
All courses	0	0

# XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

#### **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determined the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance data reporting, etc.)?

Teachers participate in a variety of district in-services as well as professional development workshops and conferences to enhance their knowledge and instructional skills. The Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program, district workshops, and professional conferences are opportunities for professional development. The district continues to train teachers in strategies to deliver a differentiated curriculum with depth and complexity. Teachers learn to utilize student assessment results in order to target instruction to better meet the individual needs of students. In addition, Polaris High school teachers are continually updating and revising course learning plans to better meet the needs of students. Site categorical funds are utilized to provide release time for teacher trainings.

Classified staff members have many opportunities to participate in training designed to enhance their effectiveness with students. All district staff members are supported in their efforts to be considered highly qualified under NCLB.